# Wade Hampton High

100 Pine Knoll Drive

Greenville, South Carolina 29609

Grades 9-12 High School

**Enrollment** 1,277 Students

**Principal** Lance Radford 864-355-0100

Superintendent Dr. Phinnize J. Fisher 864-355-8860

**Board Chair** Charles J. Saylors 864-268-3128

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

#### ABSOLUTE RATING

GOOD

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 6

17 1 0

#### IMPROVEMENT RATING

BELOW AVERAGE

#### ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 16 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

Wade Hampton High 10/30/06 2301010

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress						
2003	Excellent	Good	No						
2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	Yes						
2005	Average	Below Average	No						
2006	Good	Below Average	No						

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
		h Schools w							
		_		Students Like Ours					
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	80.4	68.9	75.6	82.4	80.3	76.6			
Passed 1 subtest	9.6	11.9	12.4	10.0	10.6	11.5			
Passed no subtests	9.9	19.2	12.0	8.4	9.2	11.9			

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	92.4%	92.4%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	24.3	17.9
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	24.3	19.6
Seniors who met the grade point average	53.8	54.0

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	309	335
Number of Diplomas	226	246
Rate	73.1%	74.2%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	70.3	80.5
English 1	70.5	69.9
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	64.0	66.2
Physical Science	54.8	52.9
All Subjects	64.4	68.4

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	HSAP Pas by Spri	-	Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	301	92.4	247	24.3	226	73.1	Yes		
Gender									
Male	130	86.2	114	26.3	147	65.3	N/A		
Female	169	97.0	133	22.6	162	80.2	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	194	96.9	172	32.6	196	83.2	N/A		
African American	80	86.3	52	0.0	85	55.3	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	81.8	10	40.0	11	72.7	N/A		
Hispanic	14	78.6	13	0.0	15	53.3	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	281	96.1	237	25.3	288	75.7	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	20	40.0	10	0.0	21	38.1	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	301	92.4	247	24.3	309	73.1	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	3	66.7	0	0.0	2	50.0	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	298	92.6	247	24.3	307	73.3	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	71	85.9	78	52.6	78	52.6	N/A		
Full-pay meals	230	94.3	207	28.0	231	80.1	N/A		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

						G	

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO			-	-,-	-,	-,	ж,		щ,
	/ 5	_/	/ .s	<sub>2</sub> /	/.	. / .	% Proficient and Advanced in	æ / æ	* / c
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced to	Performance Objection	Participation Objective
		· / · &	§	/ B	\fotage{p}	/ §		]   E	
	(F) (F) (F)	/ %	/ å	/ %	/ %	/ %	198	Pa ig	[ E 25]
E-	nglish/Land				/	/		/ -	
All Students	299	98.7	12.1	23.8	37.7	26.3	69.8	Yes	Yes
Gender	233	30.7	12.1	20.0	51.1	20.0	03.0	163	163
Male	141	97.9	15.2	27.3	40.9	16.7	62.9	N/A	N/A
Female	158	99.4	9.4	20.8	34.9	34.9	75.8	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group		33.1	5.7		35	35	. 5.5	,, (	,, (
White	195	99.0	4.3	19.7	42.0	34.0	80.3	Yes	Yes
African American	66	97.0	27.9	32.8	32.8	6.6	49.2	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	27	100.0	28.6	42.9	19.0	9.5	38.1	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	275	98.5	6.6	24.4	40.3	28.7	75.2	N/A	N/A
Disabled	24	100.0	73.9	17.4	8.7	N/A	8.7	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	299	98.7	12.1	23.8	37.7	26.3	69.8	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	289	98.6	10.3	23.8	38.8	27.1	71.8	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status		07.0	00.4	00.4	00.4	44.0	40.0		
Subsidized meals	93	97.8	29.4	29.4	29.4	11.8	48.2	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	204	99.5	4.6	21.4	41.3	32.7	79.1	N/A	N/A

N	lathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ctive = 50	0.0%			
All Students	299	98.7	20.6	30.2	30.6	18.5	60.9	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	141	97.9	24.2	27.3	29.5	18.9	60.6	N/A	N/A
Female	158	99.4	17.4	32.9	31.5	18.1	61.1	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	195	99.0	11.2	27.7	35.6	25.5	71.3	Yes	Yes
African American	66	97.0	47.5	36.1	13.1	3.3	31.1	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	27	100.0	28.6	47.6	23.8	N/A	47.6	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	275	98.5	15.1	31.4	33.3	20.2	66.3	N/A	N/A
Disabled	24	100.0	82.6	17.4	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	299	98.7	20.6	30.2	30.6	18.5	60.9	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	289	98.6	19.4	30.0	31.5	19.0	62.3	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	93	97.8	38.8	36.5	21.2	3.5	40.0	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	204	99.5	12.8	27.6	34.7	25.0	69.9	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School	
Students (n= 1,277)			LIKO GUITO		
Retention rate	9.6%	Down from 11.3%	6.9%	7.0%	
Attendance rate	94.0%	•	96.1%	95.5%	
Eligible for gifted and talented	13.5%		10.6%	7.9%	
With disabilities other than speech	10.5%		11.1%	12.3%	
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	10.9% 3.1%		6.9% 1.5%	9.5% 1.2%	
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	45.2%	Up from 40.3%	16.0%	11.2%	
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	24.3%	11- ( 0.40/	16.0%	10.2%	
Annual dropout rate	5.3%	•	2.4%	2.8%	
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	0.0%	3.5%	
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	526	Up from 408	588	448	
Students participating in worked-based experiences	2.7%	Up from 2.4%	24.9%	24.2%	
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	81.3%	Up from 65.3%	80.4%	80.0%	
Career/technology completers placed *Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem	100.0% ents.	No change	100.0%	99.1%	
Teachers (n= 66)					
Teachers with advanced degrees	66.7%	Up from 60.6%	59.3%	55.5%	
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV		N/AV	N/AV	
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.3%	N/A	8.3%	9.6%	
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	7.7%	Up from 7.3%	6.0%	9.9%	
Teachers returning from previous year		Down from 87.3%	87.9%	86.3%	
Teacher attendance rate	96.3%	- F	95.7%	95.3%	
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	, , ,	Up 1.9% No change	\$43,362 11.3 days	\$42,943 11.2 days	
School					
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 4.0	4.0	3.0	
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	29.3 to 1	Up from 27.9 to 1	28.1 to 1	25.7 to 1	
Prime instructional time	89.3%	Up from 88.0%	90.2%	89.3%	
Dollars spent per pupil*		Up 4.4%	\$6,221	\$6,792	
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	55.9%	Down from 59.2%	56.5%	55.3%	
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	61.8%		61.0%	61.1%	
Opportunities in the arts Parents attending conferences	Excellent 99.6%	No change Up from 96.7%	Excellent 94.8%	Excellent 92.8%	
SACS accreditation		No change	Yes	Yes	
Character development		Down from Good	Good	Good	
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.			District	State	
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl	v qualified teach		4.7%	6.2%	
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers  3.9%					
		State Obje	ctive Met St	ate Objective	

**Abbreviations for Missing Data** 

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

Student attendance in this school \*or greater than last year

0.0%

94.0%\*

No

Yes

Wade Hampton High 10/30/06 2301010

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Wade Hampton High School students experienced an incredible year. Success in academics, fine arts, athletics, and other extra-curricular activities enabled students to gain confidence in themselves and to take pride in their accomplishments. Students gained a new respect for service to others and modeled a new philosophy, it is cool to care, about their classmates.

Wade Hampton High School continues to provide educational opportunities to all students. The graduates of 2006 received almost one million dollars in college scholarships. Two students were named Palmetto Fellows, ten students were selected to attend the Governor's school, and a number of students were recognized in national competitions.

Mandatory test prep classes for tenth graders helped students improve their test taking skills and prepare for the HSAP, the SAT, and the ACT. As a result, SAT scores climbed. In comparison with 2005 results, passing scores on end-of-course tests increased by at least 10 percent in every subject, and over 70 percent of students taking the Algebra I end-of-course test passed it. In addition, approximately 92 percent of the students taking the English part of the HSAP for the first time passed it.

The College Credit Now initiative was implemented this school year. Thirty-five students received dual credit for college courses offered through USC-Salkehatchie on the Wade Hampton campus. A student can earn a maximum of 30 college credits.

The Marching Red Guardsmen band placed sixth in the Lower State Competition and went on to compete in the state finals once again this year. NJROTC received the unit achievement award, and the rifle drill team competed in several competitions. The Boys' Cross Country team repeated as region champions and went on to compete in the state finals. Two career and technology students placed in state competition. A newly established robotics team competed on the national level.

A grant was written and awarded —Jobs for America's Graduates— that served 45 freshmen. A job coach was provided to address career choices, academic achievement in core subjects, and success in school. The JAG classes concentrated on academics, participated in service projects, and went on field trips in support of the goals of the program.

Teachers offered students after-school tutoring, credit recovery, makeup work, a variety of instructional strategies, peer mentoring, and early bird sessions. Failure at WHHS is simply not an option!

Greg Ackerman

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	67	192	72
Percent satisfied with learning environment	82.1%	65.6%	72.2%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.5%	72.0%	70.4%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	88.1%	77.5%	60.6%

<sup>\*</sup>Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.